Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	North Walsall Primary Academy
Number of pupils in school	207
	Nursery 26
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	At time of allocation 48.1%
	Current (without EYFS) 51.5%
	Current EYFS 19%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium	3 Year
strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	Y1 of 3
Date this statement was published	December 2021
Date on which it will be reviewed	Spring 1 2022
Statement authorised by	Principal Miss Hawkins
	124035
Pupil premium lead	Principal Miss Hawkins
Governor / Trustee lead	M. Richards.
	Marnie Richards

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£133,155
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£14,355
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£147,510

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our aim at North Walsall is to use Pupil Premium & Recovery funding to help us improve and sustain higher attainment for disadvantaged pupils, through rapid progress, attainment that is comparable with that of non-disadvantaged pupils nationally. This strategy represents a three-year plan, during this period of time the strategy will focus on the key challenges that are preventing our disadvantaged pupils from attaining well: oral language, vocabulary, speech & language, gaps in curriculum, knowledge, attendance & punctuality and social-emotional concerns manifesting as behaviour.

Our approach will be responsive to both everyday challenges as well as individual pupil needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, underpinned by educational research to inform planned intervention. This approach is supported by the National Tutoring Programme, which will support the wider focus of educational recovery, post pandemic.

The North Walsall Way exemplifies the highest of expectations of all pupils, irrespective of background or the challenges they face, through our No Opt Out philosophy. At North Walsall we ensure that high levels of disadvantage do not impede any pupil from exposer to a broad, balanced and enriched curriculum. A curriculum enriched with opportunities to learn beyond the classroom, broaden their horizons and to gain cultural capital experiences that go beyond their everyday demographic.

The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils to excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

• ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in their work, and are immersed in new life experiences outside of the academy

• act early to intervene at the point when a need is identified & engage with outside agencies where needed

• adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Low levels of language acquisition upon entry to the academy, continuing throughout the academy
	Our baseline assessments on entry to Reception class demonstrate that 91% of our disadvantaged pupils arrive below age-related expectations compared to only 63% of others.
	This then leads to disadvantaged pupils attaining less well in the Y1 phonics check, impacting on their development as readers. Phonics baseline in Y1 indicate that 82% of our disadvantaged children are on track to pass the phonics screening.
2	High % of pupils with underlying developmental language disorders, impacting on speech language and communication.
	Of the current 2021 Nursery intake will be screened in Jan 2022 to assess S&L concerns.
	Of the 2021 Reception intake 91% of our disadvantaged pupils have been identified as having speech and language difficulties.
3	Low levels of attendance & punctuality (PA)
	PP PA in 20-21 was 61.54% for the year. Overall attendance PP last for 20-21 was 93.51%.
	Autumn 1 2021 indicates that of the 11% PA list 70% of the pupils are disadvantaged & of the PL pupils 85% are disadvantaged. Overall PP attendance YTD Autumn 1 is 95.79%
4	Historical Socio-cultural & economic deprivation, leading to limited opportunities to widen a pupil's cultural capital without academy intervention.
	Our assessments, observations and discussions show that many of our disadvantaged pupils' education has been disproportionately impacted by partial school closures. These findings are further supported by a number of national studies on partial school closures.
5	Our internal tracking in summer 2 2021 and Autumn 1 2021, discussions and observations have identified social and emotional / self-confidence & behavioural issues for some disadvantaged pupils.
	23 pupils in Autumn 1&2 receiving pastoral intervention, of which 56% were PP pupils; with a waiting list for Spring.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved spoken language with a wider vocabulary bank that emphasis the use of Standard English. Leading to improved Reading, Writing and SPAG. (1&2)	Teacher assessment of pupils' oral language will demonstrate a reduction in the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers in school. This is within a context of high attainment for all. External school improvement support will verify these findings in pupils' day to day learning.
Targeted support at the earliest stage for pupils identified with language difficulties. Leading to improved spoken language and oracy. (1&2)	Teacher, and S&L assessment of pupils will demonstrate a reduction of pupils requiring 1:1 or small group intervention as they move through the school.
Improved attendance and punctuality, maximising the opportunity to engage in learning, maximising progress and improving outcomes in all subject areas. (3)	PA for disadvantaged pupils reduces every half term from 70% Autumn 1. PP attendance YTD maintained at 96%
Pupils are able to see past the socio-cultural cycle they are in and have the ability, means & support approach things differently. Leading to higher aspirations and an improved attitude to learning, resulting in better outcomes.	Internal tracking data demonstrates that disadvantaged pupils are exposed to the same enriched opportunities as their non- disadvantaged peers.
Cultural capital experiences to be maximised through all aspects of the curriculum – exposing pupils to a large variety of subject	This includes Tutoring, Afterschool clubs, Breakfast club, trips & residential.
areas and arts; promoting character-building qualities that lead to creating well-rounded, global citizens, offering experiences they can draw upon in later life.	Discussions with pupils, teachers & support staff indicate that these experiences are supporting improved outcomes across the curriculum.
Pupils engage in extracurricular support and tutoring to support improved outcomes. (4)	Discussions with pupils, parents, teachers & support staff indicate that pupils have higher expectations of themselves, with higher aspirations and an improved attitude towards learning.
Pupils are able to communicate clearly and express their emotions and feelings in a calm and restorative manner. Leading to reduced behaviour incidents, and better outcomes across all subjects. (5)	Internal tracking data of behaviour and pastoral intervention demonstrates a reduced number of behaviour incidents and less pupils requiring 1:1 targeted support.

|--|

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ 15,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Additional staffing model in EYFS&KS1 to ensure smaller ratios enhancing quality first provision, alongside a Mastery model.	All of these methods will be deployed across EYFS as a result of increased teaching capacity within the room. Reduction in class size +2 months progress <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/reducing-class-size</u> Teaching Assistant Interventions +4 months progress <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/teaching-assistant-interventions</u> Within class attainment grouping +2 months progress <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/teaching-assistant-interventions</u> Within class attainment grouping +2 months progress <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/teaching-assistant-interventions</u> Mastery Teaching model in class +5 months progress <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/mastery-learning</u>	1 & 2

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £42,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
S&L interventions in	Oral language interventions can provide progress of +6	1 & 2
EYFS in the	months	
afternoon, such as	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
Early Talk Boost &	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/oral-language-	
Talk Boost,	interventions	
individual 1:1	Small group tutoring proves highly effective progress +3	
sessions to work on	months	

SALT tamata act by	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-]
SALT targets set by	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition	
the S&L Therapist,		
to be delivered by	Wellcom internal data at NWPA over a 2-year period on average provides +9 months in just 3 months of	
S&L TA	intervention	
00.005	https://www.ndcs.org.uk/information-and-	
£9,305	support/being-deaf-friendly/information-for-	
	professionals/assessments-for-deaf-children-and-young-	
	people/early-communication-skills/wellcomm-early-	
	years-the-complete-speech-and-language-toolkit/	
	Early communication and language interventions can	
	provide +6 months progress	
	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
	evidence/early-years-toolkit/communication-and-	
	language-approaches	
	https://ican.org.uk/training-licensing/i-can-	
	programmes/talk-boost-ks1/	
Use of digital	Home Learning provision can provide +6 months of	1 & 4
platforms to set HL	progress	
and projects such	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
as Nessy for targeted English	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/homework	
support; for home	Individual instruction in through various mediums	
reading and	including digital can provide +4 months progress	
comprehension	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
development. All	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/individualised-	
can be used as	instruction	
intervention	Digital technology use in EYFS can provide +4 months	
programs to	progress	
supplement in-	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
class teaching and for home learning.	evidence/early-years-toolkit/digital-technology	
£500		
2000		
Tutoring internally	1:1 tutoring proves highly effective progress +5 months	1, 4 & 5
for Phonics,	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
targeted pupils'	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/one-to-one-tuition	
afterschool across Y1 & Y2.	Small group tutoring proves highly effective progress +3 months	
£2,049		
	<u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-</u> evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/summer-schools	
Maths tutoring	Extending the school day for things such as tutoring or	
afterschool	boosters +3 months	
through an external provider	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
£12,000	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/extending-school-time	
	Early Phonic intervention provides +5 months progress	

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	
evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics	

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £ 90,500

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Attendance, Behaviour & parent liaison lead in school to work with families on reducing PA and improve Whole school attendance. Additional, attendance interventions £27,000 Attendance officer to work on reducing PA and improve Whole school attendance, carrying out home visits, liaising with the LA regarding prosecution for PA. £4,000	The Education Endowment Foundation is currently undertaking a rapid evidence assessment on attendance interventions and programmes. Poor school attendance is a significant problem in the UK and many other countries across the world. In 2019/20, it was reported as 4.9% overall, with special schools showing a higher rate equal to 10.5% and persistent absence at 13.1% in England (gov.uk 2020). Research has found that poor attendance is linked to poor academic attainment across all stages (Balfanz & Byrnes, 2012; London et al., 2016) as well as anti-social characteristics, delinquent activity and negative behavioural outcomes (Gottfried, 2014; Baker, Sigmon, & Nugent, 2001). The DFE published a report on the links between attendance and attainment in 2014. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/absence- and-attainment-at-key-stages-2-and-4-2013-to-2014 Effective behaviour intervention can improve academic outcome by +4 months https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/behaviour- interventions.	3, 4 & 5
Deputy Attendance lead, supporting the attendance lead in school to support children and families to improve their attendance. £1,000	Metacognition and self-regulation approaches to teaching, support pupils to think about their own learning more explicitly, often by teaching them specific strategies for planning, monitoring, and evaluating their learning and regulating their behaviour. This approach can improve progress by +6 months <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/metacognition-and- self-regulation</u>	

Deputy behaviour	Social and emotional learning (SEL) interventions seek to	
lead, holding parent meetings and organising in-house sanctions and rewards. £2,500 External behaviour support through the Educational Psychiatrist for paly therapy. £2,000	improve pupils' decision-making skills, interaction with others and their self-management of emotions, rather than focusing directly on the academic or cognitive elements of learning. This approach can produce +4 months progress <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional- learning</u>	
Pastoral Mentor, leading intervention afternoons, afterschool club & breakfast club daily. £15,000	Social and emotional learning (SEL) interventions seek to improve pupils' decision-making skills, interaction with others and their self-management of emotions, rather than focusing directly on the academic or cognitive elements of learning. Estimated progress +4 months <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional- learning</u>	5
Breakfast and Afterschool club, staffing £10,000 Food and fruit for all pupils at snack time. £5,000	Improve punctuality, to ensure all children are in school and ready to learn on time. Continuously refine approaches to ensure pupils behave consistently well, demonstrating high levels of metacognition and self-regulation & concentration. Evidence suggests hungry children are unable to sustain concentration over sustained periods of time. <u>https://www.kelloggs.co.uk/content/dam/europe/kel- loggs_gb/pdf/R5_Kelloggs%20Breakfast%20Club%20Au- dit%20APSE.pdf</u> <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/up- loads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/603946/Evalua- tion_of_Breakfast_ClubsFinal_Report.pdf</u>	3, 4 & 5
Rewards for improved attendance and 100% attendance. Certificates and books for improved and above 95%. Termly reward such as bouncy castle for 100%.	Internal evidence from 2019-2020 even with the impact of COVID school's attendance improved and pupil feedback supports the use of rewarding improvement in attendance. 0.4% increase on whole school attendance. 4.6% increase on whole school Pupil Premium children. 12 extra children achieved 100% from previous year (this figure increases year on year since introducing the Bike draw and the end of year 100% trip)	3

Yearly reward for 100% is a trip and a raffle for a new bike. £3,000 All pupils are exposed to first- hand experience of the outside world, through rich vocabulary opportunities and language acquisition. Pupils build confidence in public, gaining valuable life experiences, through educational and enrichment visits. £20,000	Ensure that pupils develop the detailed knowledge and skills needed, across a broad range of subjects, to ap- ply what they know with increasing fluency and inde- pendence thus ensuring they achieve or exceed end of year expectations. Ensure that all children regardless of circumstance have the opportunity to take part in enrichment programs that broaden their cultural capital and experience. http://www.lotc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/G1 LOtC-Manifesto.pdf	1 & 4
Prizes to encourage pupils to take part in home learning projects and competitions. Supporting the consolidation of in-school learning. As well as building stronger links with parents, getting them involved is school projects alongside their children £1,000	Parental engagement through things such as home work projects particularly in EYFS can provide +4 months progress. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/early-years-toolkit/parental-engagement Engagement in home-learning can offer +5 months progress. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence- summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/homework-primary/	1, 4 & 5

Total budgeted cost: £147,500

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

Aim	Cost	Outcome	Evaluative Summary
	£17,929 additional	When the school was	Reading
	KS2 staffing for	open in the au-	
	small group sizes **	tumn term, we	Y6 made 6.2 points of progress
		streamed in Y6 to re-	through FFT
	£990 on online	duce the class size to	
	English Platform	enable targeted	Y5 PP made 25 points progress Au-
	0 0	teaching to take	tumn v Summer and 0.2 points of pro-
	£1,069Additional	place.	gress against KS1 start point through
	afterschool tutor-		FFT – Due to lack of attendance and 2
	ing for FFT (post	During the spring	PP PA pupils and 2 new starters
	official program	term (lockdown) this	
	ending)	model moved online	Y4 PP made 7 points of progress Au-
	eruury)	and the learning was	tumn v summer and non-PP 20 points
		shared. Enabling pu-	through FFT "O of these pupils also re-
		pils to be spoken to	ceived Lightning Squad tutoring –
		daily by a teacher	this class also had 3 PA pupils
		and have live lessons	all of whom are PP
		as well as pre-rec-	
Progress in		orded.	Y3 PP 17 points progress Autumn v
Reading			Summer non-pp 19 points progress.
and Writ-		During the summer term we used a	This class also have 3 PP PA pupils
ing		teaching student to	Y2 PP 28 points progress and non-pp 8
		run the same	points
		model. The student	
		delivered lessons and	Y1 4.1 points progress whole class
		took small groups for	
		tuition.	We will consider running after-
			school tutoring for targeted year
		Y4 had an additional	groups
		apprentice TA due to	
		the high proportion of PP children in the	Writing
		class. The TA was	
		able to target pupils	Y6 7 points progress whole class
		for additional sup-	Y5 PP –0.8 points and non-PP flat
		port.	progress
			Y4 PP 38 points progress non-PP
		We ran a tutoring	20 points
		program using Catch-	Y3 PP 28 points progress, non-PP
			27 points

	up funding for read- ing, through FFT. Once this had ended, we con- tinued with the after- school tuition and funded it through PP. We upped our sub- scription to Nessy to whole school, we also brought into Doodle English, and renewed our sub- scription to Oxford Reading Buddy, this ensure every pupil in the school had access to all three literacy platforms during lockdown and upon return we were able to set addi- tional catch-up work and home work on	poi Y1 We clu	ints 17 p e wil ıb af	oints l look terscł	e at ru	ess wt nning σ supp	iole cl a Y6 v	ass vriting
**	the platforms. During the Autumn term we ran an	Y5 Y4 of Y3 prc Y2 Y1 As in onl Ver loc	PP J PP J prog PP (ogres PP (0.3) Mat 2021 line 1 ars 1 ckdov Y 1 Y 2	lat pr lat pr lat pr ress 5 poin & non points hs cor /22, v tutorir -6 onl wn for Wk 2 73% Wk 2 41%	ogress ogress ts prog ~ PP fl progn nparec we will ig line en	gress, r at prog ess wh l poorly l engag gagem re subj Wk 4 70% Wk 4 37%	P 15 p PP -7 ion-PF press ole cla y to re ye with ent du	oints points flat ss ading i

					47%	50%	50%	37%	43%	
					Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4	Wk 5	Wk 6	
				Y 4	23%	37%	33%	23%	27%	
					Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4	Wk 5	Wk 6	
				Y 5	21%	21%	27%	13%	17%	
				Y 6	Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4	Wk 5	Wk 6	
				TO	30%	40%	50%	27%	13%	
	*** Additional	We ran smaller								
Phonics	staffing to support with small group delivery of Phon- ics in & support S&L in Y2 £726	groups in Y1, with an additional teacher in class in the after- noon. We had an ad- ditional TA support Y2 Phonics Y2- was 20 children, wh					above n, whic			
	£4,081 on addi- tional after- noon staffing in Y1 to support with phonics groups	Y2 had a number of PA pupils last year which impacted upon progress		(Based on 28 children)						
	£5,737 additional staffing salary	We run an additional Online Engagement for Nursery Du staffing model in re- ing lock down 2							y Dur-	
	Sugger og Satar g	ception this is a sal-	u	iy wi	Wk 2		Wk 4	Wk 5	Wk 6	
	£9,900 speech and	aried amount, it al-		Nur	71%	81%	90%	90%	90%	
	language interven- tions, therapy and	lows for smaller group sizes more op-			/1/0	01/0				
	staffing ***	portunity for 1:1 in- teraction and catchup.			rline Engagement for Recepti ckdown 2					
		Speech and language			Wk 2	2 Wk 3	Wk 4	Wk 5	Wk 6	
		Speech and language continued as planned during the Autumn		Rec	37%	37%	63%	60%	57%	
GLD		and summer term and then moved online during the spring term (lock- down) The S&L ther- apist ran remote 1:1 live session with pu- pils. In September 2020 the children in recep- tion were all screened on Wellcomm, which	th ex di 1: 6 tc gr ea at at	ne 28 kpecta itiona 3 child will c bber 2 nade a ress ir ach ch t each	childro ation a l supp dren it ome o 2021. approx n the s nild mo asses rated p	en met and no ort. Ou is exp ff the On ave imate pace c oving v sment	ut of th ected t interve erage e ly 27 m of 10 m within e	age-re requi that a ention ach ch onths onths each se This ir	ated red ad- aining further by Oc- ild pro- with ection ndicates	

			1
Wider	£3,420 on Breakfast	further support. This is due to the fact that they were working below their actual age for their recep- tive/expressive lan- guage skills. We had never had so many children requiring in-	of none-attendance during recom- mended return period. 73.3% of pupils did not return to school. Between 22.06.20 and the 27.7.20 school return for Reception, Y2 and Y6 was optional. In essence these pupils missed all of the spring term and half of the summer term.
	club and afterschool club staffing cost £3,000 on addi- tional food and breakfast club par- cels during lock-	throughout on limited	PP attendance 93.5% for the year Whole school attendance 94.8%
	down	numbers due to bub- bles. As a substitute we gave out BC food packs to all PP and SEND pupils. We then opened this up to any family strug- gling. We prepared full food parcels for all PP and	PP PA attendance 61.54% The competitions worked really well, we had excellent engagement in certain year groups and received amazing feed- back. If we found ourselves in the same position, we would run these ac- tivities and programs again to encour- age online engagement.
	£10,072 on Attend- ance staffing cost	SEND pupils at the end of each half term. Attendance staff changed their role slightly during lock- down, to monitoring	We were only able to run Tutoring af- terschool clubs during summer 2 due to COVID restrictions. Again, moving for- ward, we intend to re-start all after school clubs

£8,000 on remote rewards or compe- titions during lock- down and attend- ance post lock- down this includes	engagement of school work. Calling PA and SEND pupils weekly and conduct- ing home visits for PA children if no con- tact or online work	The Y6 Prom and in-house activities served the purpose of ensuring the pu- pils received an enjoyable end to their primary education. However, these are no substitute to real life experiences such as a Residential and trip to a Rus- sel Group university. In 2022 we intend to move back to our usual EOY events.
£500 on year 6 in- house leaving re- wards as they were unable to go offsite due to COVID.	online competitions to motivate children during lockdown	The school picnic was lovely but it is no substitute to the life experience of visit- ing the seaside. In 2022 we intend to re-instate the whole school seaside trip
£20,500 strategic leadership of PP sta ^{pp} ing.cost	we combined school attendance with online engage- ment during the lockdown period.	
£1,500 on ICT hardware to ensure the move to remote learning could be met £531 whole school EOY Picnic in place of the EOY summer trip to the seaside	As we could not take the pupils off site for their re- wards or end of year trips, we brought things into school such as a popcorn maker, bouncy castle, school prom etc PP spend was real- located as circum- stances change due to COVID and the budget was used reactive to need.	
	We ordered addi- tional headsets and	

Cost	2117,755		
Cumulative	£117 055		
		nic	
		school provided pic-	
		Cream van and	
		corn maker, Ice	
		bouncy castle, pop-	
		school with a	
		and fun day in	
		wonderful picnic	
		Children had a	
		ing lockdown.	
		mote learning dur-	
		delivering re-	
		staff had one for	
		speaks to ensure all	

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider		
Reading Tutoring - 20/21	Fischer Family Trust		

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

Measure	Details
How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?	NA
What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils?	NA

Further information (optional)

We are basing this strategy on a 3-year model, with 21-22 acting as the 1st year. Recovery Premium allocated to Tutoring is based on a 1-year model in the first instance, this will ensure we can monitor the income is available in 22-23 and no government cuts have been made.